Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) PAPPALARDO ET AL.	
10/518,608		
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBERT E. CARTER III	2629	

	ROBERT E. CARTER III	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled in the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensing the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (a) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b):					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (see NOTE below the proposed amendment (see NOTE	E below);				
They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a cancel in the			ne issues for		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cance non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not amended the claims, and Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the examiner incorrectly characterized the tropwer terminal' and submitted the prior art reference Gilbreath et al. (US Patent # 3,959,665) to support applicant's definition of the term. However, Applicant's specification gives no explicit definition of this term, so the examiner is entitled to give the term its broadest probable interpretation. The Examiner cites Haydook et al. (PCT publication # WO 01/56133 A1), which is also prior art, and teaches using the term "power output terminal" to describe the output terminal of an inverter (Fig. 1B, Page 17, line 2-02-1, 32-36). Therefore the examiner maintains that the characterization of the output terminal of an inverter as "power terminal" is correct because it is supported in the art, and Applicant has not explicitly defined the term in the specification as "power terminal" is